

Part I: Preparation of a standard absorption curve for FeSCN⁺²

Standard	0.20M Fe(NO ₃) ₃	0.0020 M KSCN	0.100M HNO ₃	[FeSCN ⁺²]	Absorbance
A	10.0 mL	0.0 mL	15.0 mL	0.000M	0.000
B	10.0 mL	1.0 mL	14.0 mL	8.00e-5M	0.308
C	10.0 mL	1.5 mL	13.5 mL	1.20e-4M	0.538
D	10.0 mL	2.0 mL	13.0 mL	1.60e-4M	0.706
E	10.0 mL	2.5 mL	12.5 mL	2.00e-4M	0.900
F	10.0 mL	3.0 mL	12.0 mL	2.40e-4M	1.100

EQUATION: $y=4622.1x-0.0243$

R² 0.997

Part 2: Measuring Equilibrium

Test Solution	0.0020 M Fe(NO ₃) ₃	0.0020 M KSCN	0.10 M HNO ₃	Initial [Fe ³⁺]	Initial [SCN ⁻]	Absorbance	Equilibrium [FeSCN ⁺²]*
I	5.0 mL	0	5.0 mL	0.0010M	0.000M	0.0000	
II	5.0 mL	1.0 mL	4.0 mL	0.0010M	0.0002M	0.1600	3.99x10 ⁻⁵ M
III	5.0 mL	2.0 mL	3.0 mL	0.0010M	0.0004M	0.3700	8.53x10 ⁻⁵ M
IV	5.0 mL	3.0 mL	2.0 mL	0.0010M	0.0006M	0.5800	1.31x10 ⁻⁴ M
V	5.0 mL	4.0 mL	1.0 mL	0.0010M	0.0008M	0.6780	1.52x10 ⁻⁴ M
VI	5.0 mL	5.0 mL	0.0 mL	0.0010M	0.0010M	1.280	2.82x10 ⁻⁴ M

* To be determined from the standard graph equation.

ANALYSIS:

1. Use your graph equation to calculate the equilibrium concentrations of FeSCN⁺².
2. Prepare and ICE chart for each test solution (II – VI) and calculate the value of K_{eq} for each of your 5 tests solutions.

SAMPLE ICE CHART

Test Solution K _{eq} = _____	Fe ³⁺	+	SCN ⁻	⇄	FeSCN ²⁺
I					
C					
E					

CONCLUSION AND EVALUATION:

1. Comment on your K_{eq} values. Do your results convince you that K_{eq} is a constant value regardless of the initial concentrations of the reactants? Why or why not?
2. Calculate the average value of K_{eq} from your five trials. The actual value of K_{eq} for this reaction at 25°C is reported as 280. Calculate (should you use all of your values?) the percent difference of your average value from the reported value:

% difference = (experimental value – reported value) × 100%

Reported value

1. No. The K_{eq} is not a constant value regardless of initial concentrations, as the initial concentrations vary and alter the K_{eq} . It is quite visible that as the concentration of the reactants increases, so does the K_{eq} .
2. The average value we achieved was 1.38×10^{-4} , and the percent difference was 99.9%.